

# **Overview of Approach**





## **Consequence Categories**

Environmental	Social	Economic
Loss of natural areas or	<ul> <li>Cultural resources</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Capital construction costs</li> </ul>
environmentally sensitive features	<ul> <li>Reputation and inclusion</li> </ul>	Annual operation & maintenance
<ul> <li>Groundwater flow</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Public safety, access/egress</li> </ul>	costs
<ul> <li>Surface and groundwater quality</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Community cohesion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Capital lifecycle replacement costs</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Natural floodplain, riparian areas</li> </ul>	Insurability	<ul> <li>Availability of external funding</li> </ul>
Aquatic habitat	<ul> <li>Displacement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Residual damages</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Fish passage</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aesthetics</li> </ul>	

Regulatory approvals

Wildlife passage



### Climate

- Energy usage and emissions
- Ability to adapt to other climate hazards (wildfire)

## **Likelihood Factors**



Flood Risk Prevention

Flood Defence



Flood Risk Mitigation





Flood Preparation and Response



## **Climate Risk**

#### Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) Curves







Flood Recovery





Climate change is a risk multiplier



Weather on "steroids"

# **Risk Treatment Option Analysis (RTOA) Results**

Used a risk-informed process to support decision-making.

All options have a remaining residual risk (ALARP). "Is the squeeze worth the juice"

6 options were approved to proceed to the detailed cost-benefit analysis (CBA) phase.

### Assumptions and Scope

- Informed by prior studies and analyses.
- Opinion of Probable Costs. Class D (±50%) estimate based on conceptual design.
- Further optimization based on preliminary and detailed design to follow for selected option.
- Costs, dike heights and number of impacted dwellings may change with further design.



Likelihood			
Moderate [3]	High [4]	Very High [5]	
		1 Base Case	
	11 Dredge	8 Land Use Restrictions	
		10 Levy/Tax	
		9 Lift Residences	





#### Option 2 North Only Overview:

Baseline scenario; no flood mitigation activities. Scenarios to which all other options are compared.

#### Impacted:

- **3** Permanent Resident Properties
- **1** Seasonal Resident Property
- 8 Vacant/Campground Properties

#### Class D ((±50%) Cost Estimate: \$13.8 Million

#### **Residual Risk:** 7.8 out of 25

### NPV (@ 3% Discount Rate): \$4.1 Million







#### **Option 3 North and South Overview:**

Sheetpile on north side, earth berms on south side.

#### **Impacted**:

**O** Permanent Resident Properties **1** Seasonal Resident Property 5 Vacant/Campground Properties

Class D ((±50%) Cost Estimate: \$19.0 Million

**Residual Risk:** 6.8 out of 25

NPV (@ 3% Discount Rate): -\$2.6 Million





#### **Option 4 Divert to Oxbow Overview:**

Reactivate old oxbow channel, earth berms and Sheetpile on north side, earth berms on south side.

#### **Impacted**:

- **O** Permanent Resident Properties
- **O** Seasonal Resident Property
- 1 Vacant/Campground Properties

#### Class D ((±50%) Cost Estimate: \$18.2 Million

#### **Residual Risk:** 8.0 out of 25

#### NPV (@ 3% Discount Rate): -\$1.3 Million





#### **Option 5 Room for the River Overview:**

Widen and re-establish the floodplain, remove downstream residents, earth berms on north and south sides.

#### Impacted:

5 Permanent Resident Properties13 Seasonal Resident Property10 Vacant/CampgroundProperties

Class D ((±50%) Cost Estimate: \$11.5 Million

Residual Risk: 6.4 out of 25

NPV (@ 3% Discount Rate): \$6.5 Million



#### Legend Option 1 Base Case and Option 6 Managed Retreat

100-year Flood Extent

#### Option 1 Base Case Overview:

Baseline scenario; no flood mitigation activities. Scenarios to which all other options are compared.

Residual Risk: 15.3 out of 25



### Option 6 Managed Retreat Overview:

Remove all properties within the 100-year return period floodplain. Re-naturalize that area.

#### Impacted:

30 Permanent ResidentProperties26 Seasonal Resident Property26 Vacant/CampgroundProperties

Class D ((±50%) Cost Estimate: \$20.8 Million

**Residual Risk:** 4.1 out of 25

NPV (@ 3% Discount Rate): -\$3.3 Million



# **Cost-Benefit Analysis: Methods**

CBA is a generally accepted approach to estimate the **net social benefit** of a project/policy.

- All costs and benefits are assigned a dollar value, where possible.
- Future costs and benefits are "discounted" back to present value using an appropriate discount rate.
- The present value of social costs are subtracted from the present value of social benefits to yield a Net Present Value (NPV).
- If NPV >0, the project is of net benefit to society.

### **Social Costs**

- Construction costs, operating costs, residential buyouts, reclamation/demolition costs, and environmental damages.
- Differ by mitigation option.

- damages, including:
  - on affected residents.
  - \$762,467.



### **Social Benefits**

Primary social benefit of flood mitigation is the value of avoided flood

 Damages to properties/dwellings, displacement of residents, disruption to the MDLSR, damages to environment, and health impacts

• Average avoided annual damages=

# **Cost-Benefit Analysis: Results**



All costs are shown in \$ Millions